

HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

15 May 2012

The following report is attached for consideration and is submitted with the agreement of the Chairman as an urgent matter pursuant to Section 100B (4) of the Local Government Act 1972

7 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES APPLICATION – REPORT ATTACHED (Pages 1 - 6)

The Committee is requested to consider the report relating to Highways Schemes Applications

**Ian Buckmaster
Committee Administration and
Member Support Manager**

This page is intentionally left blank

HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

15 May 2012

REPORT

Subject Heading:

**HIGHWAY SCHEMES APPLICATIONS
May 2012**

Report Author and contact details:

Mark Philpotts
Principal Engineer
01708 433751
mark.philpotts@havering.gov.uk

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Clean, safe and green borough	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Excellence in education and learning	<input type="checkbox"/>
Opportunities for all through economic, social and cultural activity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Value and enhance the life of every individual	<input type="checkbox"/>
High customer satisfaction and a stable council tax	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUMMARY

This report presents applications for new highway schemes for which the Committee will make recommendations to the Head of StreetCare to either progress or the Committee will reject.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Committee considers that the Head of StreetCare should proceed with the detailed design and advertisement (where required) of the highway schemes applications set out the attached Schedule, Section A – Scheme Proposals with Funding in Place.
2. That the Committee considers the Head of StreetCare should not proceed further with the highway schemes applications set out in the attached Schedule, Section B - Scheme proposals without funding available.
3. That the Committee notes the contents of the Schedule, Section C – Scheme proposals on hold for future discussion.
4. That it be noted that any schemes taken forward to public consultation and advertisement (where required) will be subject to a further report to the Committee and a decision by the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment if a recommendation for implementation is made.
5. That it be noted that the estimated cost of implementing each scheme is set out in the Schedule along with the funding source. In the case of Section B - Scheme proposals without funding available, that it be noted that there is no funding available to progress the schemes.

REPORT DETAIL

1.0 Background

- 1.1 The Highways Advisory Committee receives all highway scheme requests; so that a decision will be made on whether the scheme should progress or not before resources are expended on detailed design and consultation.
- 1.2 Several schemes are funded through the Transport for London Local Implementation Programme and generally the full list of schemes will be presented to the Committee at the first meeting after Annual Council, unless TfL make an early funding announcement, in which case the list can be provided early. Some items will be presented during the year as programmes develop.
- 1.3 There is also a need for schemes funded by other parties or programmes (developments with planning consent for example) to be captured through this process.

- 1.4 Where any scheme is to be progressed, then the Head of StreetCare will proceed with the detailed design, consultation and public advertisement (where required). The outcome of consultations will then be reported to the Committee which will make recommendations to the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment. Where a scheme is not to be progressed, then the Head of StreetCare will not undertake further work.
- 1.5 In order to manage this workload, a schedule has been prepared to deal with applications for new schemes and is split as follows;
- (i) Section A - Scheme Proposals with Funding in Place. These are projects which are fully funded and it is recommended that the Head of StreetCare proceeds with detailed design and consultation.
 - (ii) Section B - Scheme proposals without funding available. These are requests for works to be undertaken where no funding from any source is identified. The recommendation of Staff to the Committee can only be one of rejection in the absence of funding. The Committee can ask that the request be held in Section C for future discussion should funding become available in the future.
 - (iii) Section C - Scheme proposals on hold for future discussion. These are projects or requests where a decision is not yet required (because of timing issues) or the matter is being held pending further discussion should funding become available in the future.
- 1.6 The schedule contains information on funding source, likely budget (as a self-contained scheme, including staff design costs), the request originator, date placed on the schedule and a contact point so that Staff may inform the person requesting the scheme the outcome of the Committee decision.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial implications and risks:

The estimated cost of each request or project is set out in the Schedule for the Committee to note.

The costs shown are an estimate of the full costs to implement a scheme should it be ultimately implemented. It should be noted that further decisions are to be made following a full report to the Committee and with the Cabinet Member approval process being completed where a scheme is recommended for implementation.

Legal implications and risks:

Many aspects of highway schemes require consultation and the advertisement of proposals before a decision can be taken on their introduction.

Where a scheme is selected to proceed, then such advertisement would take place and then be reported in detail to the Committee so that a recommendation may be made to the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment.

With all requests considered through the Schedule, a formal set of Recommendations and a record of the Committee decisions are required so that they stand up to scrutiny.

Human Resources implications and risks:

None.

Equalities implications and risks:

Decisions need to be made which are in accordance with various equalities considerations, the details of which will be reported in detail to the Committee so that a recommendation may be made to the Cabinet Member for Community Empowerment.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.

**London Borough of Havering
Traffic & Engineering - StreetCare**

Highways Advisory Committee

Highway Schemes Applications Schedule

15th May 2012

Item Ref	Scheme	Description	Officer Advice	Funding Source	Likely Budget	Scheme Origin/ Request from	Date Requested/ Placed on List	CRM / Contact
SECTION A - Highway scheme proposals with funding in place								
None to report								
SECTION B - Highway scheme proposals without funding available								
H1	Balgores Lane/ Station Road, Gidea Park	Provide a mini-roundabout to help traffic flow	Might be possible, but when mini-roundabouts are applied to existing T-junctions, the give way position will be set back. In this case, the set back will mean the view to the right is blocked by the railway wall.	None	£10k	Resident	12/04/2012	1135258
H2	Park End Road, Romford	Remove speed cushions outside 41/48 as resident and neighbours are concerned about vibrations to their buildings caused by vehicles driving over cushions.	Feasible, but as these are part of a wider 20mph Zone scheme, Park End Road and Church Lane would need to be removed from the speed limit.	None	£3k	Resident (on behalf of neighbours)	13/04/2012	1135198
H3	Petersfield Avenue/ Petersfield Close	Traffic calming at junction following recent collision. Concern from residents that speeding traffic is making it more risky exiting the close.	Feasible with a speed table at the junction for example, but further calming would be problematic because of parked vehicles and impact on bus route.	None	£20k	Residents via ward councillors	23/04/2012	Cllr Bull

**London Borough of Havering
Traffic & Engineering - StreetCare**

Highways Advisory Committee

15th May 2012

Highway Schemes Applications Schedule

Item Ref	Scheme	Description	Officer Advice	Funding Source	Likely Budget	Scheme Origin/ Request from	Date Requested/ Placed on List	CRM / Contact
H4	Hainault Road (north of A12)	Better speed humps, 20mph speed limit and HGV restrictions	Feasible, but unfunded. No casualty problems.	None	£20k	Resident	27/04/2012	
SECTION C - Highway scheme proposals on hold for future discussion (for Noting)								
	None to report							